Moral Hazard and mean field type interactions: A tale of a Principal and many Agents

Thibaut Mastrolia CMAP, École Polytechnique

Joint work with Romuald Elie (Univ. Paris-Est Marne-La-Vallée) and Dylan Possamaï (Univ. Paris-Dauphine).

Workshop on Variational and Stochastic Analysis. CMM-Universidad de Chile, March 2017.





Motivations and general situation

Situation: A Principal takes the initiative of a contract which is proposed to an Agent. The Agent can accept or reject it (he is held to a given level).

Motivations and general situation

Situation: A Principal takes the initiative of a contract which is proposed to an Agent. The Agent can accept or reject it (he is held to a given level).

Problem: The Principal is potentially imperfectly informed about the actions of the Agent which impact her wealth (the output).

Motivations and general situation

Situation: A Principal takes the initiative of a contract which is proposed to an Agent. The Agent can accept or reject it (he is held to a given level).

Problem: The Principal is potentially imperfectly informed about the actions of the Agent which impact her wealth (the output).

Goal: Design a contract that maximises the utility of the Principal under constraints.

Examples

- Optimal remuneration of an employee,
- How regulators with imperfect information and limited policy instruments can motivate firms to reduce pollution,
- How a company can optimally compensate its executives,
- How banks achieve optimal securitization of mortgage loans
- How investors should pay their portfolio managers
- An insurer who proposes a car insurance to customers...

However:

The actions of the Agent are observable/contractible or not.

Examples

- Optimal remuneration of an employee,
- How regulators with imperfect information and limited policy instruments can motivate firms to reduce pollution,
- How a company can optimally compensate its executives,
- How banks achieve optimal securitization of mortgage loans
- How investors should pay their portfolio managers
- An insurer who proposes a car insurance to customers...

However:

The actions of the Agent are observable/contractible or not.

There are characteristics of the Agent which are unknown to the Principal.

Examples

- Optimal remuneration of an employee,
- How regulators with imperfect information and limited policy instruments can motivate firms to reduce pollution,
- How a company can optimally compensate its executives,
- How banks achieve optimal securitization of mortgage loans
- How investors should pay their portfolio managers
- An insurer who proposes a car insurance to customers...

However:

The actions of the Agent are observable/contractible or not.

There are characteristics of the Agent which are unknown to the Principal.

Here we focus on moral hazard: the Principal does not control the action provides by her Agent.

Moral hazard

The action of the Agent is $\underline{\text{hidden}}$ or not contractible.

Moral hazard

The action of the Agent is <u>hidden</u> or not contractible.

A Stackelberg-like equilibrium between the Principal and the Agent:

- compute the best-reaction function of the Agent given a contract
- determine his corresponding optimal effort

Moral hazard

The action of the Agent is <u>hidden</u> or not contractible.

A Stackelberg-like equilibrium between the Principal and the Agent:

- compute the best-reaction function of the Agent given a contract
- determine his corresponding optimal effort
- use this in the utility function of the Principal to maximise over all contracts.

Holmström-Milgrom (1985). Weak formulation of the problem.

 $\bullet dX_t = b(t, X, a_t)dt + dW_t^a.$

Holmström-Milgrom (1985). Weak formulation of the problem.

- $dX_t = b(t, X, a_t)dt + dW_t^a$.
- Fix a contract ξ. The Agent compute his best reaction effort given ξ. He solves (exponential utilities)

$$U_0^{A}(\xi) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^a} \left[U_A \underbrace{\left(\xi - \int_0^T k(a_s) ds \right)}_{\text{salary - cost of his effort}} \right]. \tag{1}$$

Holmström-Milgrom (1985). Weak formulation of the problem.

- $dX_t = b(t, X, a_t)dt + dW_t^a$.
- Fix a contract ξ. The Agent compute his best reaction effort given ξ. He solves (exponential utilities)

$$U_0^{A}(\xi) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^a} \left[U_A \underbrace{\left(\xi - \int_0^T k(a_s) ds \right)}_{\text{salary - cost of his effort}} \right]. \tag{1}$$

Martingale representation Theorem:

"(1) \iff solving a **Backward SDE** with a unique solution (Y, Z)",

$$Y_{t} = \xi + \int_{t}^{T} \left(-\frac{R_{A}}{2} |Z_{s}|^{2} + \sup_{a} \{ b(s, X_{s}, a_{s}) Z_{s} - k(a_{s}) \} \right) ds - \int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} dW_{s}$$



Holmström-Milgrom (1985). Weak formulation of the problem.

- $dX_t = b(t, X, a_t)dt + dW_t^a$.
- Fix a contract ξ. The Agent compute his best reaction effort given ξ. He solves (exponential utilities)

$$U_0^{A}(\xi) := \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^a} \left[U_A \underbrace{\left(\xi - \int_0^T k(a_s) ds \right)}_{\text{salary - cost of his effort}} \right]. \tag{1}$$

Martingale representation Theorem:

"(1)
$$\iff$$
 solving a **Backward SDE** with a unique solution (Y, Z) ",

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \xi + \int_t^T \left(-\frac{R_A}{2} \left| Z_s \right|^2 + \sup_a \{b(s, X_s, a_s) Z_s - k(a_s)\} \right) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dW_s \\ U_0^A(\xi) &= -e^{-R_A Y_0}, \quad \text{optimal effort: } a^\star(Z). \end{aligned}$$

The Holmström-Milgrom problem and some extensions

We get the following representation for admissible contract ξ

$$\xi = Y_0 - \int_0^T \left(-\frac{R_A}{2} |Z_s|^2 + \sup_a \left(b(s, X, a) Z_s - k(a) \right) \right) ds + \int_0^T Z_s dW_s.$$

The Principal's Problem:

$$U_0^P = \sup_{\xi, \ U_0^A(\xi) \geqslant R_0} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{a^*(Z)}} \left[U_P(X_T - \xi) \right],$$

The Holmström-Milgrom problem and some extensions

We get the following representation for admissible contract ξ

$$\xi = Y_0 - \int_0^T \left(-\frac{R_A}{2} |Z_s|^2 + \sup_a \left(b(s, X, a) Z_s - k(a) \right) \right) ds + \int_0^T Z_s dW_s.$$

The Principal's Problem:

$$U_0^P = \sup_{\xi, \ U_0^A(\xi) \geqslant R_0} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{a^*(Z)}} \left[U_P(X_T - \xi) \right],$$

becomes

$$U_0^P = \sup_{Z, \ Y_0 \geqslant -\frac{\ln(-R_0)}{R_A}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{a^*(Z)}} \left[U_P(X_T - \xi) \right].$$

The Holmström-Milgrom problem and some extensions

We get the following representation for admissible contract ξ

$$\xi = Y_0 - \int_0^T \left(-\frac{R_A}{2} |Z_s|^2 + \sup_a \left(b(s, X, a) Z_s - k(a) \right) \right) ds + \int_0^T Z_s dW_s.$$

The Principal's Problem:

$$U_0^P = \sup_{\xi, \ U_0^A(\xi) \geqslant R_0} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{a^*(Z)}} \left[U_P(X_T - \xi) \right],$$

becomes

$$U_0^P = \sup_{\mathbf{Z}, \ \mathbf{Y_0} \geqslant -\frac{\ln(-R_0)}{R_A}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{s^*(\mathbf{Z})}} \left[U_P(X_T - \boldsymbol{\xi}) \right].$$

A stochastic control problem with

- State variables: the output X and the value function of the Agent,
- controlled variable: Z and Y_0 .
- → HJB equation associated with it see Sannikov (07'), Cvitanić, Possamaï, Touzi (14', 17').

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Multi Agents models.

- One period model: Holmström; Mookherjee; Green and Stokey; Harris, Kriebel and Raviv; Nalebuff and Stiglitz (among others)
- Continuous time: Koo, Shim and Sung; Elie and Possamaï, 16'.

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Multi Agents models.

- One period model: Holmström; Mookherjee; Green and Stokey; Harris, Kriebel and Raviv; Nalebuff and Stiglitz (among others)
- Continuous time: Koo, Shim and Sung; Elie and Possamaï, 16'.

The Agents problems:

we fix the N contracts proposed by the Principal.

Find a Nash equilibrium \iff solve a multidimensional (qg-)BSDE

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Multi Agents models.

- One period model: Holmström; Mookherjee; Green and Stokey; Harris, Kriebel and Raviv; Nalebuff and Stiglitz (among others)
- Continuous time: Koo, Shim and Sung; Elie and Possamaï, 16'.

The Agents problems:

we fix the N contracts proposed by the Principal.

Find a Nash equilibrium ← solve a multidimensional (qg-)BSDE

- Not well-posed theory for a system of quadratic growth BSDEs (see Frei and Dos Reis for instance).
- Recent investigations: Xing and Žitković, Harter and Richou (16').

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Multi Agents models.

- One period model: Holmström; Mookherjee; Green and Stokey; Harris, Kriebel and Raviv; Nalebuff and Stiglitz (among others)
- Continuous time: Koo, Shim and Sung; Elie and Possamaï, 16'.

The Agents problems:

we fix the N contracts proposed by the Principal.

Find a Nash equilibrium ← solve a multidimensional (qg-)BSDE

- Not well-posed theory for a system of quadratic growth BSDEs (see Frei and Dos Reis for instance).
- Recent investigations: Xing and Žitković, Harter and Richou (16').
- Elie and Possamaï circumvents this problem by imposing wellposedness in the admissibility of the contracts.

Assume that the Principal can hire *N*-interacting Agents.

Multi Agents models.

- One period model: Holmström; Mookherjee; Green and Stokey; Harris, Kriebel and Raviv; Nalebuff and Stiglitz (among others)
- Continuous time: Koo, Shim and Sung; Elie and Possamaï, 16'.

The Agents problems:

we fix the N contracts proposed by the Principal.

Find a Nash equilibrium ← solve a multidimensional (qg-)BSDE

- Not well-posed theory for a system of quadratic growth BSDEs (see Frei and Dos Reis for instance).
- Recent investigations: Xing and Žitković, Harter and Richou (16').
- Elie and Possamaï circumvents this problem by imposing wellposedness in the admissibility of the contracts.

The Principal problem: a standard stochastic control problem.

2N state variables: the outputs controlled by the Agents and their continuation utilities.

The problem under interest

What happens when N goes to $+\infty$?

 Related to Mean Field Game theory. Introduced by Lasry and Lions; Huang, Caines and Malhamé (06',07').

The problem under interest

What happens when N goes to $+\infty$?

- Related to Mean Field Game theory. Introduced by Lasry and Lions; Huang, Caines and Malhamé (06',07').
- Typical situations: how a firm should provide electricity to a large population, how city planners should regulate a heavy traffic or a crowd of people.
- Systemic risk: study large number of banks and the underlying contagion phenomenon.
 See for instance Carmona, Fouque and Sun; Garnier, Papanicolaou and Yan; Fouque and Langsam...

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Let

• $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ "arbitrary distribution of the output managed by the infinitely many other Agents"

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Let

- $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ "arbitrary distribution of the output managed by the infinitely many other Agents"
- $q:[0,T]\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ "arbitrary distribution of the actions chosen by these infinitely many Agents"

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Let

- $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ "arbitrary distribution of the output managed by the infinitely many other Agents"
- $q:[0,T]\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ "arbitrary distribution of the actions chosen by these infinitely many Agents"
- $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, \mathbb{F} -adapted control process (+integrability conditions) for the representative Agent.

Let $\Omega:=\mathbb{R}\times\mathcal{C}([0,T];\mathbb{R})$, and \mathbb{P}_0 the Wiener measure. Fix a probability measure λ_0 (initial distribution of the state of the agent), $\mathbb{P}:=\lambda_0\otimes\mathbb{P}_0$. Canonical process (x,W). Let \mathbb{F} be the completed natural filtration.

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

Let

- $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C})$ "arbitrary distribution of the output managed by the infinitely many other Agents"
- $q:[0,T]\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ "arbitrary distribution of the actions chosen by these infinitely many Agents"
- $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, \mathbb{F} -adapted control process (+integrability conditions) for the representative Agent.

$$\frac{d\mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\alpha}}{d\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{E}\left(\int_0^T \sigma_t^{-1}(X)b(t,X,\mu,q_t,\alpha_t)dW_t\right).$$

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X, \mu, q_s, \alpha_s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s^{\mu, q, \alpha}, \ t \in [0, T], \ \mathbb{P} - a.s.$$

The Agent problem as a MFG problem

• Stackelberg equilibrium: For given ξ , and μ and q, the representative Agent has to solve

$$U_0^{\mathcal{A}}(\mu,q,\xi) := \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\mathbf{a}}} \left[\underbrace{\xi - \int_0^T k_s(X,\mu,q_s,a_s) ds}_{=:u_0^{\mathcal{A}}(\mu,q,\xi,\mathbf{a})} \right]}_{}.$$

The Agent problem as a MFG problem

• Stackelberg equilibrium: For given ξ , and μ and q, the representative Agent has to solve

$$U_0^{\mathcal{A}}(\mu,q,\xi) := \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\mathbf{a}}} \left[\underbrace{\xi - \int_0^T k_s(X,\mu,q_s,a_s) ds}_{=:u_0^{\mathcal{A}}(\mu,q,\xi,\mathbf{a})} \right]}_{}.$$

→ Find a Mean field equilibrium.

The Agent problem as a MFG problem

• Stackelberg equilibrium: For given ξ , and μ and q, the representative Agent has to solve

$$U_0^A(\mu,q,\xi) := \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\mathbf{a}}} \left[\underbrace{\xi - \int_0^T k_s(X,\mu,q_s,a_s) ds}_{=:u_0^A(\mu,q,\xi,a)} \right]}_{=:u_0^A(\mu,q,\xi,a)}.$$

- → Find a Mean field equilibrium.
 - Solve the Mean Field Game problem: (a^*, μ, q) such that

See the works of Carmona and Lacker; Lacker; Carmona, Delarue and Lacker...

The Agent problem: an other story of BSDEs

We now consider the following system which is intimately related to meanfield FBSDE

$$\text{(MF-BSDE)}(\xi) \begin{cases} Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T \sup_{\alpha} \left(b(s, X, \mu, q_s, \alpha) Z_s - k_s(X, \mu, q_s, \alpha) \right) ds \\ - \int_t^T Z_s dX_s, \\ \mathbb{P}^{\alpha^*(X, Z, \mu, q), \mu, q} \circ (X)^{-1} = \mu, \\ \mathbb{P}^{\alpha^*(X, Z, \mu, q), \mu, q} \circ (\alpha_t^*)^{-1} = q_t. \end{cases}$$

Similar studies on MF-BSDEs: Carmona and Delarue; Buckdahn, Djehiche, Li, and Peng; Li and Luo...

The Agent problem: an other story of BSDEs

" Solve $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi) \Longleftrightarrow$ Solve $(\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$ "

The Agent problem: an other story of BSDEs

" Solve $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi) \iff$ Solve $(\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$ "

Theorem (Elie, M., Possamaï (16'))

• Let ξ be such that $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi)$ admits a solution $(\mu^\star, q^\star, a^\star)$. Then there exists a solution $(Y^\star, Z^\star, \mu, q)$ to $(\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$ and a^\star is a maximiser which provides an optimal effort. We thus have

$$\xi = Y_0^* - \int_0^T (b(s, X, \mu, q_s, a_s^*) Z_s^* - k_s(X, \mu, q_s, a_s^*)) ds + \int_0^T Z_s^* dX_s.$$

• Conversely, if there exists a solution (Y^*, Z^*, μ, q) to $(\mathbf{MF}\text{-}\mathbf{BSDE})(\xi)$ then $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi)$ has a solution.

The Agent problem: an other story of BSDEs

" Solve $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi) \iff \mathsf{Solve} \ (\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$ "

Theorem (Elie, M., Possamaï (16'))

• Let ξ be such that $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi)$ admits a solution $(\mu^\star, q^\star, a^\star)$. Then there exists a solution $(Y^\star, Z^\star, \mu, q)$ to $(\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$ and a^\star is a maximiser which provides an optimal effort. We thus have

$$\xi = Y_0^{\star} - \int_0^T (b(s, X, \mu, q_s, a_s^{\star}) Z_s^{\star} - k_s(X, \mu, q_s, a_s^{\star})) ds + \int_0^T Z_s^{\star} dX_s.$$

• Conversely, if there exists a solution (Y^*, Z^*, μ, q) to $(\mathbf{MF}\text{-}\mathbf{BSDE})(\xi)$ then $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi)$ has a solution.

Let us denote Ξ the set of admissible contracts ξ such that $(\mathbf{MFG})(\xi)$ has a solution.



A fundamental characterization of Ξ

Let $Y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and Z predictable + integrability conditions. Let $\alpha^{\star,Z}$ be any maximiser of the generator of $(\mathbf{MF\text{-}BSDE})(\xi)$. Consider the controlled McKean-Vlasov system:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SDE)}_{MV} \begin{cases} X_t &= x + \int_0^t b(s,X,\mu,q_s,\alpha_s^{\star,Z}) ds + \int_0^t \sigma_s(X) dW_s^{\mu,q,\alpha_s^{\star,Z}}, \\ Y_t^{Y_0,Z} &= Y_0 + \int_0^t k_s(X,\mu,q_s,\alpha_s^{\star,Z}) ds + \int_0^t Z_s \sigma_s(X) dW_s^{\mu,q,\alpha^{\star,Z}}, \\ \mu &= \mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\alpha^{\star}(\cdot,X,Z_\cdot,\mu,q_\cdot)} \circ X^{-1}, \\ q_t &= \mathbb{P}^{\mu,q,\alpha^{\star,Z}} \circ (\alpha_t^{\star,Z})^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Elie, M., Possamaï (16'))

$$\Xi = \left\{ Y_{T}^{Y_{0},Z}, \; Y_{0} \geqslant R_{0}, \; \textit{Z sufficiently integrable...} \;
ight\}.$$



$$U_0^P := \sup_{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \boldsymbol{\Xi}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^{\star}, q^{\star}, \alpha^{\star}}} \left[X_T - \boldsymbol{\xi} \right]$$

$$U_0^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^{\star}, q^{\star}, \alpha^{\star}}} \left[X_T - \xi \right] = \sup_{Y_0 \geqslant R_0} \sup_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^{\star}, q^{\star}, \alpha^{\star}}} \left[X_T - Y_T^{Y_0, Z} \right].$$

$$U_0^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - \xi \right] = \sup_{Y_0 \geqslant R_0} \sup_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - Y_T^{Y_0, Z} \right].$$

A stochastic optimal control problem with a two-dimensional state variable $M^Z := (X, Y^{Y_0, Z})$ controlled by Y_0 and Z. Two possible approaches:

$$U_0^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - \xi \right] = \sup_{Y_0 \geqslant R_0} \sup_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - Y_T^{Y_0, Z} \right].$$

A stochastic optimal control problem with a two-dimensional state variable $M^Z := (X, Y^{Y_0, Z})$ controlled by Y_0 and Z. Two possible approaches:

- Carmona and Delarue: using the maximum principle and the adjoint process of M^Z .
- Pham and Wei: using a dynamic programming principle and an HJB equation associated with the McKean-Vlasov optimal control problem on the space of measures (inspired by ideas of Lions).

$$U_0^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - \xi \right] = \sup_{Y_0 \geqslant R_0} \sup_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - Y_T^{Y_0, Z} \right].$$

A stochastic optimal control problem with a two-dimensional state variable $M^Z := (X, Y^{Y_0, Z})$ controlled by Y_0 and Z. Two possible approaches:

- Carmona and Delarue: using the maximum principle and the adjoint process of M^Z .
- Pham and Wei: using a dynamic programming principle and an HJB equation associated with the McKean-Vlasov optimal control problem on the space of measures (inspired by ideas of Lions).
- Using a lifting between functions of measure and functions of random variables.

$$U_0^P := \sup_{\xi \in \Xi} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - \xi \right] = \sup_{Y_0 \geqslant R_0} \sup_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^{\mu^*, q^*, \alpha^*}} \left[X_T - Y_T^{Y_0, Z} \right].$$

A stochastic optimal control problem with a two-dimensional state variable $M^Z := (X, Y^{Y_0, Z})$ controlled by Y_0 and Z. Two possible approaches:

- Carmona and Delarue: using the maximum principle and the adjoint process of M^Z .
- Pham and Wei: using a dynamic programming principle and an HJB equation associated with the McKean-Vlasov optimal control problem on the space of measures (inspired by ideas of Lions).
- → Using a lifting between functions of measure and functions of random variables.

On the admissibility of the contract (motivated by examples):

- Assume that the HJB equation has a solution with an optimal z* for instance.
- We check that for this z^* , the system $(\mathbf{SDE})_{MV}$ has indeed a solution and then $\xi^* := Y_T^{R_0,z^*}$ will be an optimal admissible contract.

Application: mean dependency and variance penalisation

$$b(s, x, \mu, q, a) := a + \alpha x + \beta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\mu_s(x) + \beta_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dq_s(x) - \gamma V_{\mu}(s),$$

$$V_{\mu}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^2 d\mu_s(x) + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\mu_s(x) \right|^2, \quad k(a) = c \frac{|a|^n}{n}.$$

 \hookrightarrow we cover more than the linear-quadratic case.

Application: mean dependency and variance penalisation

$$b(s,x,\mu,q,a) := a + \alpha x + \beta_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\mu_s(x) + \beta_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} x dq_s(x) - \gamma V_{\mu}(s),$$

$$V_{\mu}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^2 d\mu_s(x) + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\mu_s(x) \right|^2, \quad k(a) = c \frac{|a|^n}{n}.$$

 \hookrightarrow we cover more than the linear-quadratic case.

Theorem (Elie, M. , Possamaï (16'))

The optimal contract for the problem of the Principal is

$$\xi^* := \delta + \beta_1 (1 + \beta_2) \int_0^T e^{(\alpha + \beta_1)(T - t)} X_t dt + (1 + \beta_2) \left(X_T - e^{(\alpha + \beta_1)T} X_0 \right)$$

for some constant δ explicitly given and the associated optimal effort of the Agent is

$$a_u^* := (1 + \beta_2)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \left(\frac{e^{(\alpha + \beta_1)(T-u)}}{c} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, u \in [0, T].$$



Economic interpretations and extension.

$$\mathit{dX}_t = \left(\mathit{a}_t + \alpha \mathit{X}_t + \beta_1 \mathbb{E}^\star[\mathit{X}_t] + \beta_2 \mathbb{E}^\star[\mathit{a}_t] - \gamma \mathsf{Var}^\star[\mathit{X}_t] \right) \mathit{dt} + \sigma \mathit{dW}_t^\star.$$

	С	α	β_1	β_2	γ
Expectation of ξ^*	7	1	1	1	=
Variance of ξ^*	=	1	1	1	=
Fixed salary part δ	/	/	/	/	1
Optimal effort of A	/	1	1	1	=

- a^* is increasing with β_2 . No free-rider type behaviour.
- the volatility of the project, as well as the volatility penalisation with γ have no impact on a^* (not realistic, Agent is risk neutral).
- If $\beta_1 > 0$ the optimal contract is not Markovian.
- Compensation between fixed part of the salary and its average value.
- δ increases with γ in order to compensate the negative effect on the dynamics of the project of the dispersion of the results of all the projects of the company.

Extension to risk averse Principal.

$$U_0^P = \sup_{Z} \left(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^*} \left[X_T - \xi \right] - \lambda_X \mathsf{Var}_{\mathbb{P}^*}(X_T) - \lambda_\xi \mathsf{Var}_{\mathbb{P}^*}(\xi) - \lambda_{X\xi} \mathsf{Var}_{\mathbb{P}^*}(X_T - \xi) \right),$$

We take $c(a) := c \frac{|a|^2}{2}$.

Theorem (Elie, M., Possamaï (17'))

The optimal contract for the problem of the Principal is

$$\xi^{\star} = C + \beta_1 \frac{1 + \beta_2}{1 + 2(\lambda_{\xi} + \lambda_{X\xi})c\sigma^2} \int_0^T e^{\kappa(T-t)} X_t dt + \frac{1 + \beta_2 + 2c\lambda_{X\xi}\sigma^2}{1 + 2(\lambda_{\xi} + \lambda_{X\xi})c\sigma^2} X_T,$$

and the associated optimal effort of the Agent is

$$a_t^{\star} = \frac{1 + \beta_2}{c \left(1 + 2(\lambda_{\xi} + \lambda_{X\xi})c\sigma^2\right)} e^{(\alpha + \beta_1)(T - t)} + \frac{2\lambda_{X\xi}\sigma^2}{1 + 2(\lambda_{\xi} + \lambda_{X\xi})c\sigma^2} e^{\alpha(T - t)}$$



Interpretation.

	λ_X	λ_{ξ}	$\lambda_{X\xi}$
Optimal effort of the Agent	=	/	7
Expectation of ξ^*	=	>	7
Variance of ξ^*	=	/	7

- No effects with λ_X since a^* is deterministic.
- The optimal contract provides incentives for Agents to provide less efforts, to reduce the variance of the output.

Link with the N-agents model.

Let $(t, x, a) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times A^N$,

$$b^{N}(t,x,\mu^{N}(x),a) := a + \alpha x + \beta_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w \mu^{N}(dw),$$

with $\mu^{N}(x)$ the empirical distribution of x.

Link with the N-agents model.

Let $(t, x, a) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \times A^N$,

$$b^{N}(t,x,\mu^{N}(x),a) := a + \alpha x + \beta_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} w \mu^{N}(dw),$$

with $\mu^{N}(x)$ the empirical distribution of x.

Theorem (Elie, M., Possamaï (16'))

$$a_t^{N,\star} = \exp((\alpha + \beta_1)(T - t))\mathbf{1}_N.$$

In particular, the optimal effort of the ith Agent in the N players model coincides with the optimal effort of the Agent in the mean–field model. The optimal contract $\xi^{N,*}$ proposed by the Principal is

$$\xi^{N,\star} = R_0^N - \int_0^T \frac{e^{2\kappa(T-t)}}{2} \mathbf{1}_N dt - \int_0^T e^{\kappa(T-t)} B_N X_t^N dt + \int_0^T e^{\kappa(T-t)} dX_t^N,$$

and for any $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{N}^{a^{N,\star}} \circ \left((\xi^{N,\star})^{i} \right)^{-1} \overset{\text{weakly}}{\underset{N \to \infty}{\longrightarrow}} \mathbb{P}^{a^{\star}} \circ (\xi^{\star})^{-1}.$$



Thank you.